UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,637	04/05/2006	Kenji Kousaka	SSC-06-1067	4126
	7590 10/10/200 DLA PIPER US LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE LIBERTY	- -		ZHU, WEIPING	
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/574,637	KOUSAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	WEIPING ZHU	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Oc</u>	ctober 2008					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>						
ologod in accordance with the practice and i	x parte quayre, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 4-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/22/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/574,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 4-12 are currently under examination, wherein claims 4, 7 and 10 have been amended in applicant's amendment filed on August 7, 2008.

Status of Previous Rejections

2. The previous rejections of claims 4, 7 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and the previous rejections of claims 5, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as stated in the Office action dated May 22, 2008 have been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 4, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jurmann (US 5,167,735) as stated in the Office action dated May 22, 2008.

With respect to the amended features of the instant claims 4, 7 and 10, Jurmann ('735) discloses the oxygen content in the annealing furnace is monitored and regulated during heating to maintain the oxygen content of less than 1x10⁻⁵ in the furnace (col. 2, lines 46-53)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/574,637 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Jurmann ('735) in views of JP 57-035620 as stated in the Office action dated May 22, 2008.

Response to Arguments

5. The applicant's arguments filed on August 7, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, the applicant argues that Jurmann ('735) teaches preventing and controlling formation of boron oxide by subjecting metal to a deoxidizing pre-treatment and not by lowing the partial pressure of steam in the furnace to less than $1x10^{-5}$ during heating as claimed. In response, see the reason of rejection of the amended features of the instant claims 4, 7 and 10 as stated in the paragraph 3 above. Jurmann ('735) clearly anticipates the claim limitations of the instant claims 4, 7 and 10.

Second, the applicant argues that JP ('620) does not disclose the target value of the partial pressure of oxygen in the furnace, nor does JP ('620) teach or suggest a partial pressure of steam. In response, the examiner notes that the ground of rejection of the claimed features relies on the teaching of Jurmann ('735) rather than the teaching of JP ('620).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/574,637 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,637

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art

Page 5

Unit 1793

WZ

10/3/2008

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination KOUSAKA ET AL.	
10/574,637		
Examiner	Art Unit	
WEIPING 7HU	1793	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20081003